



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/804,269		03/13/2001	Isao Suetake	1095.1169	9104
21171	7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I SUITE 700	HALSEY	/ LLP	CHAMPAGNE, DONALD		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3622	
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/22/2004	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/804,269	SUETAKE, ISAO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Donald L. Champagne	3622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)						
Status ·								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2004.							
<u> </u>	_							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		- -						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and Todamark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/804,269

Art Unit: 3622

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sekioka (US pat. 4836309) in view of Matsumoto et al. (US pat. 4827109).
- 3. Sekioka teaches (independent claims 1, 4, 6 and 8) a commodity sales mediation system, apparatus and method, and a recording medium, the system comprising: an IC card 6 information transfer medium storing commodity information of a commodity available for purchase by a user (col. 2 line 66 to col.3 line 7), which reads on information of a commodity purchased by a user; and an electronic weighing instrument commodity sales mediation apparatus comprising means for reading the purchased commodity information (IC card reading and writing device 5, col. 3 lines 23-24) and commodity information output means (display unit 4, col. 3 lines 33-34), wherein said commodity information output means outputs the presented output information through electric communication means (i.e., display unit 4 is electrically connected to CPU 11 through display controller 17, col. 2 lines 30-39 and Fig. 2).
- 4. <u>Sekioka does not teach</u> a <u>non-contact</u> information transfer medium. <u>Matsumoto et al.</u> <u>teaches</u> a non-contact information transfer medium (col. 2 lines 9-10). <u>Because Matsumoto et al. teaches</u> that a non-contact IC card is free from the defects of contact-type cards (col. 2 lines 32-34), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Matsumoto et al. to those of Sekioka.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The

Art Unit: 3622

examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.

- 6. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.
- 7. ABANDONMENT If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

18 December 2004

Donald L. Champagne >Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622